

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಹೆಚ್. ರಂಗನಾಥ್.—ನಾವು ಅದನ್ನು ಏನಾದರೂ ದುರುಪಯೋಗ ಮಾಡಿಯಾರು ಎಂದು ಅದನ್ನು ಸೇಫ್ಟಿ ಮೆಷರ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ಸೀಲ್ ಮಾಡಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕಳವಳ ಪಡಬೇಕಾದ್ದಿಲ್ಲ. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾಲಿನೇಷನ್ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ಆಗುತ್ತಾ ಇಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು. ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾದರೆ, ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನೂ ತಜ್ಞನಲ್ಲ, ನೀವೂ ತಜ್ಞರಲ್ಲ. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಯಾವರೀತಿಯಾಗಿಯೂ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗದಂತೆ, ಅವರಿಗೆ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗದಂತೆ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಪ್ರಕಾಶನರಿ ಮೆಷರ್ಸ್ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಏನಾದರೂ ದೋಷ ಕಂಡುಬಂದಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ರೈತರಿಗೆ ಏನೇನು ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗಿದೆ ಅನ್ನುವುದು ಬರೆದು ತಿಳಿಸಿ ದರೆ ಕೂಡಲೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಆ ಬೀಜವನ್ನು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಮಾಡಿಸುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಬಿ. ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪ.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ರೈತರಿಗಾದರೂ ನಷ್ಟವಾಗಿದ್ದರೆ ಅವರಿಗೇನಾದರೂ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಚ್. ರಂಗನಾಥ್.—ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಷ್ಟ ಆಗುವ ಸಂಭವವೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂಗುರೇಗೌಡ ಅವರೊಬ್ಬರಿಂದಲೇನೇ ಕಂಪ್ಲೈಂಟ್ ಬಂದಿತ್ತು ಕಂಪ್ಲೈಂಟ್ ಬಂದ ಕೂಡಲೇ ನಮ್ಮ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಆಡ್ವೈಸ್ ಏನು ಕೊಡಬೇಕೋ ಅದನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಬಂದಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮತ್ತೆ ಅವರು ಮಾಡಿದಂಥ ಬೆಳೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎಂದು ರಿಪೋರ್ಟ್ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅದುದರಿಂದ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ನಷ್ಟ ಅನ್ನುವುದಾಗಲೀ ಅಥವಾ ಪರಿಹಾರ ಅನ್ನುವ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಾಗಲೀ ಉದ್ಭವಿಸುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

Maize seed production plots in Tumkur District

583 SRI G. S. SHIVANANJAPPA (Bellavi) Will the Minister for Agriculture and Parliamentary Affairs be pleased to state.—

(a) the number of maize seed production plots that were taken by the Deputy Director of Agriculture, Tumkur, last year ;

(b) the names of the seed producers of Tumkur Taluk ;

(c) whether one Sri Sri G. S. Shivananjappa, Lawyer, Tumkur, had agreed and entered into an agreement with the seed procuring agency and Deputy Director of Agriculture and deposits towards inspection charges ;

(d) whether the said person deposited the amount ;

(e) if so, to what account the amount was taken ;

(f) when it was received from G. S. Shivananjappa and when it was taken to account ;

(g) who supplied the seeds to the said person ;

(h) how was the crop ;

(i) why the Managing Director, Karnataka Co-operative Seeds Federation did not inspect the plots ;

(j) what was the kind of disease, attacked to the crop and was it due to bad seeds supplied ;

(k) the loss caused to Shri G. S. Shivananjappa ;

(l) whether the Government would compensate the loss ?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH (Minister for Agriculture and Parliamentary Affairs).—

(a) During the year 1977-78, in Tumkur District, the maize seed production programmes was organised in two departmental seed farms and by associating seven private growers.

(b) —

Sriyuths :—

1. Channappa, Naraganahalli, Tumkur Taluk
2. Shivananjappa, Narasipura, Tumkur Taluk
3. Narasimha Murthy Setty, M.N. Kote, Gubbi Taluk
4. M.G. Doddaiiah, M.N. Kote, Gubbi Taluk
5. Veera Arasappa, Mavathur, Koratagere Taluk
6. K. Srikantaiah, Kodalahalli, Koratagere
7. Shivanna, Bhimasandra, Tumkur Taluk

(c) No. Shri G.S. Shivananjappa, Lawyer, Tumkur did not enter into any agreement with the seed procuring agency, i. e., Karnataka State Co-operative Marketing Federation. However he had given an application to Block Development Officer, Tumkur.

(d) Shri Shivananjappa had deposited Rs. 160 with the Block Development Officer, Tumkur on 9th January 1978 toward seed certification charges.

(e) The amount was taken to the Taluk Development Board accounts on 9th January 1978 according to receipt No. 36.

(f) The amount was received from Shri Shivananjappa, grower by the Taluk Development Board on 9 h January 1978 and was taken into account the same day.

(g) The Managing Director, Karnataka Co-operative Seeds Federation supplied the foundation seeds to the grower on 24th September 1977 through the Block Development Officer, Tumkur.

(h) Crop was affected by Helminthosporium disease.

(i) Since, the programme was not approved due to non-submission of Form No. 1, the inspection of plot by the staff of Seed Certification Agency was not done.

(j) During 1977-78, the rainfall received in Tumkur district was about 1300 M. M. There was also high humidity in the Southern districts of the State, i. e., Mysore, Hassan and Tumkur. Though remedial measures were taken in time, the incidence of Helminthosporium was noticed in Tumkur and Mysore Districts. It was not due to bad seeds.

(k) Loss, if any, is not known,

(l) No.

SRI G. S. SHIVANANJAPPA.—In reply to question (c) you have stated among other things that “however he had given an application to the B.D.O. Tumkur. If the application is there, will the Minister be pleased to call for application and peruse it?”

† SRI K.H. RANGANATH.—The applicant has given the application to the B.D.O. The application should have been given to the certification agency.

SRI G. S. SHIVANANJAPPA.—Your village level worker works under B.D.O. The application has been given to the village level worker who passed on the same to the B.D.O. Will the Minister tell the date of the application and verify the same?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—Now the application does not survive because the applicant has withdrawn the money.

SRI G. S. SHIVANANJAPPA.—To question (g) you have stated that Managing Director, Karnataka Co-operative Seeds Federation supplied the foundation seeds to the grower on 24th September 1977 through the B.D.O. Tumkur. How it could be when the application itself was not taken into consideration; and how the seeds supplied?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—Supplying of seeds is different from making application for certification. Application for the certification of seeds should have been made with deposit money. Deposit money should have been deposited within 30 days. But the deposit of money is made on 9th January 1978, i.e., 105 days after the application. If the money was not deposited, certification agency cannot go and certify the seeds. During the course of the inspection, the certification agency has to inspect four times the filed. Since money was not deposited, they have not taken into account the application at all.

12-30 P. M.

SRI G. S. SHIVANANJAPPA.—I shall prove that the amount was paid in time and the same was not taken into proper head of the Karnataka Seed Corporation. Will the hon'ble Minister assure that he will take action?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—I have already given the answer. In fact he is trying to prove that the application was given but at the same time the very day the money should have been deposited and it should have been given to the certification agency. Since the application was given to the BDO and it was not accompanied by the deposit receipt, the certification agency had not taken that into

account and they did not send the inspector to inspect the crop. It is a voluntary thing, he need not get certificate also. If he wanted the certification, he should have observed these formalities; otherwise he could have sown the seeds.

SRI G. S. SHIVANANJAPPA.—The Hon'ble Minister said that he has not deposited the amount in time but why did they pay back the amount which was deposited to the account of BDO account?

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—The BDO, would have been hauled up for misappropriation if he had not refunded the amount. He had paid it to the BDO, the receipt No. 36 is also there. The receipt was not given to the certification agency.

SRI G. S. SHIVANANJAPPA.—My question has not been understood by the hon'ble Minister.

SRI K. H. RANGANATH.—Let the hon'ble member make himself quite clear.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಶಿವನಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು, ಅದರ ಆಧಾರದ ಮೇಲೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ನನಗನ್ನಿಸುತ್ತಿದೆ. ದುಡ್ಡನ್ನು ಯಾರ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಪಾವತಿ ಮಾಡಲಾಯಿತು ಮತ್ತು ಆ ದುಡ್ಡನ್ನು ಬಿ.ಡಿ.ಒ. ಅವರ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋದವರು ಯಾರ ಹಾಗೂ ರಸೀದಿಯನ್ನು ಯಾರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

Have they maintained receipt book? These are the things that I wanted to know.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಚ್. ರಂಗನಾಥ್.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದ ಮೂಲ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳನ್ನೂ ಸೇರಿಸಿಬಿಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ, ನಾನು ಉತ್ತರ ಒದಗಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದು.

SRI G. S. SHIVANANJAPPA.—The report given to him is not correct. Will the Minister be pleased to say who has inspected the crop and who has said that the incidence of Helminthosporium disease? My question is that the seed supplied was defective; that is why the crops failed.

MR. SPEAKER.—This is not the way of asking supplementaries. Since the question pertains to the hon'ble member himself, he can meet the Minister in his chamber and settle the matter,

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಶಿವನಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಬೀಜಗಳಿಗೆ ರೋಗ ಬಂದಿದೆ ಆದರೆ ಗಂಡು ಬೀಜಗಳಿಗೆ ರೋಗ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ತಿಳಿಸುವರೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಚ್. ರಂಗನಾಥ್.—ಬೀಜಕ್ಕೆ ರೋಗ ಬಂದಿತ್ತೆಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿಲ್ಲ, ಬೆಳೆಗೆ ರೋಗ ಬಂದಿತ್ತೆಂದು ನಾನು ಹೇಳಿರುವುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಶಿವನಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಬೀಜಗಳಿಗೆ ರೋಗ ಬಂದಿದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಡಿ. ದೇವರಾಜ ಅರಸ್.—ಬೀಜಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಮತ್ತು ಗಂಡು ಎಂದು ಎರಡು ವಿಧಗಳಿವೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಶಿವನಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಬೇಸಾಯ ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ಬಿಟ್ಟು ಬಹಳ ವರ್ಷಗಳಾಗಿರಬೇಕು. ಅದುದರಿಂದ, ಬಹುಶಃ ಇದು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿಲ್ಲದಿರಬಹುದು. ನಮಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಬೀಜಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಣ್ಣು ಬೀಜಗಳಿಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ರೋಗ ಬಂದಿತ್ತು ಮತ್ತು ಗಂಡು ಬೀಜಗಳಿಗೆ ರೋಗ ಬಂದಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ತಿಳಿಸುವರೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಚ್. ರಂಗನಾಥ್.—ನಾನು ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಕಾರಣವನ್ನು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಕಳೆದ ಸಾರಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಸುಮಾರು ೫೦ ಇಂಚಿನಷ್ಟು ಮಳೆಯಾಗಿದೆ, ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಮಳೆಯಾದರೆ ಈ ಕಾಯಿಲೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆಂದು ತಜ್ಞರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಿದೆ. ಅದು ಸರಿಯಲ್ಲ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಾದರೆ, ಅವರೂ ಮತ್ತು ನಾವು ತಜ್ಞರ ಜೊತೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾತನಾಡಿ ಕಾರಣ ತಿಳಿಯಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಪ್ರಶೋತ್ತರಗಳು ಮುಗಿದವು.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Electrification of Hamlets in Chickodi Taluka

566. SRI A. B. BEDGE (Sadalga).—Will the Minister of State for Information and Youth Services be pleased to state :—

- no. of hamlets in Chickodi Taluka at Belgaum District ;
- whether it has come to the notice of the Government that most of the hamlets have not been electrified in Chickodi Taluka ;
- if so, how many ;
- whether there is any time bound programme to electrify the left out hamlets ?

SRI K. H. SRINIVASA (Minister of State for Information and Youth Services).—

- 47.
- and (c) More than 50 per cent of the existing hamlets have been electrified. Only 20 hamlets are yet to be electrified.
- No.

Irrigation at Chikkanayakanahalli

567. SRI K. H. RAMAKRISHNAIAH (Turuvekere).—Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state :—

whether it is possible to supply water from the Bhadra Reservoir to Chikkanayakanahalli ?

SRI D. DEVARAJ Urs (Chief Minister).—

No.